arXiv:1602.06264v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 17 Feb 2016

# Comment on article "Line of Dirac Nodes in Hyperhoneycomb Lattices"

Marcos Veríssimo-Alves,* Rodrigo G. Amorim, and A. S. Martins
*Departamento de Física, ICEx, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Volta Redonda, RJ, Brazil*
(Dated: February 22, 2016)

Recently, carbon hyperhoneycomb ($\mathcal{H}$-n) lattices have been proposed to be, through a one-$p$ orbital tight-binding (TB) model with nearest-neighbor (n.n.) hopping, a family of strong 3D topological insulators [1]. In this Comment we show, through Density Functional Theory (DFT) and Extended Hückel Theory (EHT) calculations [2] for the $\mathcal{H}$-0 lattice, that the TB Hamiltonian in Ref. [1] is inadequate, invalidating the presented conclusions.




FIG. 1. DFT band structure for the $\mathcal{H}$-0 lattice.

Fig. 1 shows the DFT band structure for the $\mathcal{H}$-0 lattice. The strong discrepancy between DFT and TB band structures led us to carefully re-examine the TB Hamiltonian of Ref. [1]. Using Fig. 1 (a) of Ref. [1] to denote the four atoms in the unit cell, we rewrite the TB Hamiltonian with different $t_{\alpha\beta}$ between atoms $\alpha$ and $\beta$, obtaining:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{12}\Theta_x & 0 & t_{14}\Theta_z \\ t_{12}\Theta_x^* & 0 & t_{23}\Theta_z^* & 0 \\ 0 & t_{23}\Theta_z & 0 & t_{34}\Theta_y \\ t_{14}\Theta_z^* & 0 & t_{34}\Theta_y^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\Theta_z = \exp(ik_z a/2)$ and $\Theta_i = 2\Theta_z \cos\sqrt{3}k_i a/2$, $i = x, y$. For $t_{\alpha\beta} = t\ \forall\ \alpha, \beta$, Eq. 1 reduces to the Hamiltonian of Ref. [1].

In the $\mathcal{H}$-0 lattice, atom pairs 1-2 and 3-4 lie on perpendicular planes. For these pairs, $p$ orbitals will be identical and parallel yielding $t_{12} = t_{34} = t_\pi$. $p$ orbitals on pairs 1-4 and 2-3, however, will be orthogonal, hence $t_{23} = t_{14} = 0$. Now the Hamiltonian (1) reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta} = t_\pi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Theta_x & 0 & 0 \\ \Theta_x^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_y \\ 0 & 0 & \Theta_y^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (2)$$

Since $t_{14}$ and $t_{23}$ can only be non-zero if the orbitals have spherical symmetry, the Hamiltonian in Ref. [1] is effectively built from $s$, and not $p$, orbitals. This is confirmed by the comparison of the lowest-lying $1^{st}$ n.n. Hückel bands, which have a strong $s$-character, and TB bands of Ref. [1], shown in Figure 2.




FIG. 2. (Color online) Comparison between lowest-lying Hückel bands (red, dashed lines) and TB bands (solid black lines) of Ref. [1].

From Eq. (2) the zero-energy eigenvalues are now planes $k_{x(y)} = \pm\frac{2\pi}{a\sqrt{3}}\ \forall\ k_{y(x)}, k_z$, ruling out the existence of lines of Dirac loops, and removing the $\mathcal{H}$-n lattices from the class of strong 3D topological insulators, at least within the TB approximation used in Ref. [1].

The authors acknowledge Profs. B. Koiller, A. L. S. Oliveira, R. B. Capaz and A. G. M. Schmidt for enlightening discussions and critical reading of this manuscript. M. V. Alves and A. S. Martins acknowledge funding agency FAPERJ for financial support through grants E-26/111.397/2014 and E-26/112.554/2012.

* Corresponding author: marcos_verissimo@id.uff.br

[1] K. Mullen, B. Uchoa and D. T. Glatzhofer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 026403 (2015).
[2] We carry out DFT calculations [3] with the SIESTA [4] code, using Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials [5], the

PBE exchange-correlation functional [6] and a 900 Ry mesh cutoff. EHT [7] calculations employed a double-$\zeta$ *spd* basis set and the parametrization for carbon systems from Ref. [8]. The Brillouin Zone is sampled with a $18 \times 18 \times 12$ Monkhorst-Pack grid [9] in both DFT and EHT calculations.

[3] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964) ; W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).

[4] J. M. Soler, E. Artacho, J. D. Gale, A. García, J. Junquera, P. Ordejón and Daniel Sánchez-Portal, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **14**, 2745 (2002).

[5] N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 1993 (1991).

[6] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).

[7] A. S. Martins and M. Veríssimo-Alves, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **26**, 365501 (2014).

[8] J. Cerdá, J. Appl. Phys **100**, 043714 (2006).

[9] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B **13**, 5188 (1976).